#### GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF NORTH CAROLINA

#### **SESSION 1997**

SENATE BILL 1231

Short Title: Jurisdictional Amount Increase. (Public)

Sponsors: Senators Wellons; and Gulley.

Referred to: Judiciary.

### May 21, 1998

A BILL TO BE ENTITLED

AN ACT TO INCREASE THE AMOUNT THAT MAY BE IN CONTROVERSY IN

DISTRICT AND SUPERIOR CIVIL COURTS AND TO MAKE

CORRESPONDING CHANGES TO THE RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE AND

6 The General Assembly of North Carolina enacts:

NONBINDING ARBITRATION.

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

20

Section 1. G.S. 7A-243 reads as rewritten:

# "§ 7A-243. Proper division for trial of civil actions generally determined by amount in controversy.

Except as otherwise provided in this Article, the district court division is the proper division for the trial of all civil actions in which the amount in controversy is ten thousand dollars (\$10,000) twenty-five thousand dollars (\$25,000) or less; and the superior court division is the proper division for the trial of all civil actions in which the amount in controversy exceeds ten thousand dollars (\$10,000). twenty-five thousand dollars (\$25,000).

For purposes of determining the amount in controversy, the following rules apply whether the relief prayed is monetary or nonmonetary, or both, and with respect to claims asserted by complaint, counterclaim, cross-complaint or third-party complaint:

(1) The amount in controversy is computed without regard to interest and costs

- Where monetary relief is prayed, the amount prayed for is in controversy unless the pleading in question shows to a legal certainty that the amount claimed cannot be recovered under the applicable measure of damages. The value of any property seized in attachment, claim and delivery, or other ancillary proceeding, is not in controversy and is not considered in determining the amount in controversy.
- (3) Where no monetary relief is sought, but the relief sought would establish, enforce, or avoid an obligation, right or title, the value of the obligation, right, or title is in controversy. Where the owner or legal possessor of property seeks recovery of property on which a lien is asserted pursuant to G.S. 44A-4(a) the amount in controversy is that portion of the asserted lien which is disputed. The judge may require by rule or order that parties make a good faith estimate of the value of any nonmonetary relief sought.
- (4) a. Except as provided in subparagraph c of this subdivision, where a single party asserts two or more properly joined claims, the claims are aggregated in computing the amount in controversy.
  - b. Except as provided in subparagraph c, where there are two or more parties properly joined in an action and their interests are aligned, their claims are aggregated in computing the amount in controversy.
  - c. No claims are aggregated which that are mutually exclusive and in the alternative, or which that are successive, in the sense that satisfaction of one claim will bar recovery upon the other.
  - d. Where there are two or more claims not subject to aggregation the highest claim is the amount in controversy.
- (5) Where the value of the relief to a claimant differs from the cost thereof to an opposing party, the higher amount is used in determining the amount in controversy."

Section 2. G.S. 1A-1, Rule 8(a) reads as rewritten:

- "(a) Claims for relief. A pleading which that sets forth a claim for relief, whether an original claim, counterclaim, crossclaim, or third-party claim shall contain
  - (1) A short and plain statement of the claim sufficiently particular to give the court and the parties notice of the transactions, occurrences, or series of transactions or occurrences, intended to be proved showing that the pleader is entitled to relief, and
  - A demand for judgment for the relief to which he deems himself—the pleader claims to be entitled. Relief in the alternative or of several different types may be demanded. In all negligence actions, and in all claims for punitive damages in any civil action, wherein the matter in controversy exceeds the sum or value of ten thousand dollars (\$10,000), twenty-five thousand dollars (\$25,000), the pleading shall not state the demand for monetary relief, but shall state that the relief demanded is

for damages incurred or to be incurred in excess of ten thousand dollars (\$10,000). twenty-five thousand dollars (\$25,000). However, at any time after service of the claim for relief, any party may request of the claimant a written statement of the monetary relief sought, and the claimant shall, within 30 days after such service, provide such—that statement, which shall not be filed with the clerk until the action has been called for trial or entry of default entered. Such—The statement may be amended in the manner and at times as provided by Rule 15."

Section 3. G.S. 7A-37.1 reads as rewritten:

## "§ 7A-37.1. Statewide court-ordered, nonbinding arbitration in certain civil actions.

- (a) The General Assembly finds that court-ordered, nonbinding arbitration may be a more economical, efficient and satisfactory procedure to resolve certain civil actions than by traditional civil litigation and therefore authorizes court-ordered nonbinding arbitration as an alternative civil procedure, subject to these provisions.
- (b) The Supreme Court of North Carolina may adopt rules governing this procedure and may supervise its implementation and operation through the Administrative Office of the Courts. These rules shall ensure that no party is deprived of the right to jury trial and that any party dissatisfied with an arbitration award may have trial de novo.
- (c) This procedure may be employed in civil actions where claims do not exceed fifteen thousand dollars (\$15,000). twenty-five thousand dollars (\$25,000).
- (d) This procedure may be implemented in a judicial district, in selected counties within a district, or in any court within a district, if the Director of the Administrative Office of the Courts, and the cognizant Senior Resident Superior Court Judge or the Chief District Court Judge of any court selected for this procedure, determine that use of this procedure may assist in the administration of justice toward achieving objectives stated in subsection (a) of this section in a judicial district, county, or court. The Director of the Administrative Office of the Courts, acting upon the recommendation of the cognizant Senior Resident Superior Court Judge or Chief District Court Judge of any court selected for this procedure, may terminate this procedure in any judicial district, county, or court upon a determination that its use has not accomplished objectives stated in subsection (a) of this section.
- (e) Arbitrators in this procedure shall have the same immunity as judges from civil liability for their official conduct."
- Section 4. This act becomes effective October 1, 1998, and applies to claims filed on or after that date.