GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF NORTH CAROLINA

SESSION 1995

S 1 SENATE BILL 985 Short Title: Capital Punishment Procedure. (Public) Sponsors: Senator Rand. Referred to: Judiciary II/Election Laws May 4, 1995 A BILL TO BE ENTITLED AN ACT TO AMEND THE PROCEDURE FOR IMPOSING A SENTENCE FOR A CAPITAL OFFENSE. The General Assembly of North Carolina enacts: Section 1. G.S. 15A-2000 reads as rewritten: "§ 15A-2000. Sentence of death or life imprisonment for capital felonies; further proceedings to determine sentence. Separate Proceedings on Issue of Penalty. – (a) Upon conviction or adjudication of guilt of a defendant of a capital felony, the court shall conduct a separate sentencing proceeding to determine whether the defendant should be sentenced to death or life imprisonment. A capital felony is one which may be punishable by death. The proceeding shall be conducted by the trial judge before the trial jury (2) as soon as practicable after the guilty verdict is returned. If prior to the time that the trial jury begins its deliberations on the issue of penalty, any juror dies, becomes incapacitated or disqualified, or is discharged for any reason, an alternate juror shall become a part of the jury and serve in all respects as those selected on the regular trial panel. An

alternate juror shall become a part of the jury in the order in which he

1 2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

12

13 14

1516

242526

22

23

272829

30

31

3233343536

39 40

41

3738

- was selected. If the trial jury is unable to reconvene for a hearing on the issue of penalty after having determined the guilt of the accused, the trial judge shall impanel a new jury to determine the issue of the punishment. If the defendant pleads guilty, the sentencing proceeding shall be conducted before a jury impaneled for that purpose. A jury selected for the purpose of determining punishment in a capital case shall be selected in the same manner as juries are selected for the trial of capital cases.
- (3) In the proceeding there shall not be any requirement to resubmit evidence presented during the guilt determination phase of the case, unless a new jury is impaneled, but all such evidence is competent for the jury's consideration in passing on punishment. Evidence may be presented as to any matter that the court deems relevant to sentence, and may include matters relating to any of the aggravating or mitigating circumstances enumerated in subsections (e) and (f). circumstances enumerated in subsection (e) of this section and any circumstance in mitigation proffered by the defendant. The defendant's record of prior convictions, including pleas of guilty or no contest pleas and any adjudication of juvenile delinquency which would have constituted a misdemeanor or felony if the offense had been committed by an adult, or the lack of any such record shall be competent in every case for the jury's consideration in passing on punishment. Any evidence which the court deems reliable and to have probative value may be received. received during the sentencing hearing so long as the evidence has sufficient guarantees of trustworthiness.
- (4) The State and the defendant or his counsel shall be permitted to present argument for or against sentence of death. The defendant or defendant's counsel-State shall have the right to the last argument.
- (b) Sentence Recommendation by the Jury. Instructions determined by the trial judge to be warranted by the evidence shall be given by the court in its charge to the jury prior to its deliberation in determining sentence. In all cases in which the death penalty may be authorized, the judge shall include in his instructions to the jury that it must consider any aggravating circumstance or circumstances or mitigating circumstance or circumstances from the lists provided in subsections (e) and (f) which may be supported by the evidence, and shall furnish to the jury a written list of issues relating to such aggravating or mitigating circumstance or circumstances.

After hearing the evidence, argument of counsel, and instructions of the court, the jury shall deliberate and render a sentence recommendation to the court, based upon the following matters:

(1) Whether any sufficient aggravating circumstance or circumstances as enumerated in subsection (e) exist;

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19 20

21

2223

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33

3435

36

3738

39

40

41 42

- Whether any sufficient mitigating circumstance or circumstances as enumerated in subsection (f), which outweigh the aggravating circumstance or circumstances found, exist; and
 - (3) Based on these considerations, whether the defendant should be sentenced to death or to imprisonment in the State's prison for life.

The jury shall determine the appropriate punishment based upon whether any of the aggravating circumstances enumerated in subsection (e) of this section exist, whether any mitigating circumstances exist, and if so, whether the aggravating circumstance or circumstances found outweigh the mitigating circumstance or circumstances found. The same evidence may be used to support more than one aggravating circumstance enumerated in subsection (e) of this section and to support the existence of more than one mitigating circumstance.

If, beyond a reasonable doubt, the aggravating circumstance or circumstances enumerated in subsection (e) of this section outweigh any mitigating circumstances, or if no mitigating circumstances are found to exist, the jury shall recommend the court impose a sentence of death. If the aggravating circumstance or circumstances enumerated in subsection (e) of this section do not, beyond a reasonable doubt, outweigh the mitigating circumstance or circumstances found to exist, the jury shall recommend the court impose a sentence of imprisonment for life in the State's prison.

After hearing the evidence and argument of counsel, the court shall give the jury appropriate instructions on the sentencing procedures and shall furnish the jury with a writing upon which the court lists all aggravating circumstances enumerated in subsection (e) of this section that may be supported by the evidence and, at the request of defendant or the defendant's counsel, any examples of possible mitigation set out in subsection (f) of this section or other circumstances proffered by the defendant that are supported by the evidence. The court need not list or instruct upon any examples of possible mitigating circumstances set out in subsection (f) of this section or other possible mitigating circumstances unless requested to do so by the defendant or the defendant's counsel. The court shall not give peremptory instructions on any of the aggravating or mitigating circumstances, provided, however, that the court may instruct that certain felonies, as a matter of law, are considered to be violent felonies. The court shall instruct the jury that it need not assign any particular weight or value to the matters in mitigation or the aggravating circumstances set out in subsection (e) of this section, but that the jury should consider the criminal record, or lack of one, in assessing the appropriate value to give the circumstances in aggravation enumerated in subsection (e) of this section and the mitigating circumstances.

The sentence recommendation must be agreed upon by a unanimous vote of the 12 jurors. Upon delivery of the sentence recommendation by the foreman of the jury, the jury shall be individually polled to establish whether each juror concurs and agrees to the sentence recommendation returned.

If the jury cannot, within a reasonable time, unanimously agree to its sentence recommendation, the judge shall impose a sentence of life imprisonment; provided,

however, that the judge shall in no instance impose the death penalty when the jury cannot agree unanimously to its sentence recommendation.

- (c) Findings in Support of Sentence of Death. When the jury recommends a sentence of death, the foreman of the jury shall sign a writing on behalf of the jury which writing shall show:
 - (1) The statutory aggravating circumstance or circumstances which the jury finds beyond a reasonable doubt; and
 - (2) That the statutory aggravating circumstance or circumstances found by the jury are sufficiently substantial to call for the imposition of the death penalty; Whether one or more jurors has found, by a preponderance of the evidence, that any of the proffered circumstances in mitigation exist; and.
 - (3) That the mitigating circumstance or circumstances are insufficient to outweigh the aggravating circumstance or circumstances found. Whether, beyond a reasonable doubt, the aggravating circumstance or circumstances found outweigh the mitigating circumstances, if any, found; and
 - (4) Based on the answer to the issue set out in subdivision (3) of this subsection, the unanimous sentencing recommendation of the jury.
 - (d) Review of Judgment and Sentence.
 - (1) The judgment of conviction and that a sentence of death be imposed shall be subject to automatic review by the Supreme Court of North Carolina pursuant to procedures established by the Rules of Appellate Procedure. In its review, the Supreme Court shall consider the punishment imposed as well as any errors assigned on appeal.

If the defendant wishes to waive the right to appeal the conviction and sentence of death, the North Carolina Supreme Court shall nevertheless review the sentencing phase portion of the trial solely to determine if any aggravating circumstance or circumstances enumerated in subsection (e) of this section upon which the sentencing court based its sentence of death are supported by the evidence and whether the sentence was imposed under the influence of passion, prejudice, or any other arbitrary circumstance.

(2) The sentence of death shall be overturned and a sentence of life imprisonment imposed in lieu thereof by the Supreme Court upon a finding that the record does not support the jury's findings of any aggravating circumstance or circumstances upon which the sentencing court based its sentence of death, or upon a finding that the sentence of death was imposed under the influence of passion, prejudice, or any other arbitrary factor, or upon a finding that the sentence of death is excessive or disproportionate to the penalty imposed in similar cases, considering both the crime and the defendant. The Supreme Court may suspend consideration of death penalty cases until such time as the court

determines it is prepared to make the comparisons required under the 1 2 provisions of this section. 3 (3) If the sentence of death and the judgment of the trial court are reversed 4 on appeal for error in the post-verdict sentencing proceeding, the Supreme Court shall order that a new sentencing hearing be conducted 5 6 in conformity with the procedures of this Article. 7 Aggravating Circumstances. - Aggravating circumstances which may be considered shall be limited to the following: 8 9 The capital felony was committed by a person lawfully incarcerated. (1) 10 **(2)** The defendant had been previously convicted of another capital felony or had been previously adjudicated delinquent in a juvenile proceeding 11 12 for committing an offense that would be a capital felony if committed 13 by an adult. 14 (3) The defendant had been previously convicted of a felony involving the 15 use or threat of violence to the person or had been previously 16 adjudicated delinquent in a juvenile proceeding for committing an 17 offense that would be a Class A, B, C, D, or E felony involving the use 18 or threat of violence to the person if the offense had been committed by an adult. 19 20 The capital felony was committed for the purpose of avoiding or (4) 21 preventing a lawful arrest or effecting an escape from custody. The capital felony was committed while the defendant was engaged, or 22 (5) was an aider or abettor, in the commission of, or an attempt to commit, 23 24 or flight after committing or attempting to commit, any homicide, robbery, rape or a sex offense, arson, burglary, kidnapping, or aircraft 25 piracy or the unlawful throwing, placing, or discharging of a destructive 26 device or bomb. 27 28 (6) The capital felony was committed for pecuniary gain. 29 The capital felony was committed to disrupt or hinder the lawful **(7)** 30 exercise of any governmental function or the enforcement of laws. The capital felony was committed against a law-enforcement officer, 31 (8) employee of the Department of Correction, jailer, fireman, judge or 32 33 justice, former judge or justice, prosecutor or former prosecutor, juror or former juror, or witness or former witness against the defendant, while 34 35 engaged in the performance of his official duties or because of the exercise of his official duty. 36 (9) The capital felony was especially heinous, atrocious, or cruel. 37 (10)The defendant knowingly created a great risk of death to more than one

person by means of a weapon or device which would normally be

The murder for which the defendant stands convicted was part of a

course of conduct in which the defendant engaged and which included

hazardous to the lives of more than one person.

(11)

38 39

40

41

felonies committed on or after that date.

the commission by the defendant of other crimes of violence against 1 2 another person or persons. 3 Mitigating Circumstances. — Mitigating circumstances which may be considered (f) 4 shall include, but not be The defendant may present any evidence bearing on the 5 defendant's character, record, background, circumstances of the crime, or any other 6 matter that may mitigate against a sentence of death. Examples of possible circumstances 7 that one or more jurors may, but need not, find to mitigate against a sentence of death 8 include, but are not limited to, the following: 9 The defendant has no significant history of prior criminal activity. (1) 10 (2) The capital felony was committed while the defendant was under the influence of mental or emotional disturbance. 11 12 (3) The victim was a voluntary participant in the defendant's homicidal conduct or consented to the homicidal act. 13 14 (4) The defendant was an accomplice in or accessory to the capital felony 15 committed by another person and his participation was relatively minor. The defendant acted under duress or under the domination of another 16 (5) 17 person. 18 (6) The capacity of the defendant to appreciate the criminality of his 19 conduct or to conform his conduct to the requirements of law was 20 impaired. 21 **(7)** The age of the defendant at the time of the crime. The defendant aided in the apprehension of another capital felon or 22 (8) 23 testified truthfully on behalf of the prosecution in another prosecution of 24 a felony. Any other circumstance arising from the evidence which the jury deems 25 (9) to have mitigating value." 26 27 Sec. 2. This act becomes effective December 1, 1995, and applies to all capital